



## International Journal of Research in Pharmacology & Pharmacotherapeutics (IJRPP)

IJRPP | Volume 12 | Issue 1 | Jan - Mar - 2023  
www.ijrpp.com

ISSN:2278-2648

Research article

Medical research

### Cyberchondria: Consequence of excess online health information search

Guduru Madhukar Reddy, P. Veeresh Babu\*

Associate Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Gokaraju Rangaraju College of Pharmacy, Bachupally, Hyderabad, Telangana – 500090

\*Corresponding author: Dr. P. Veeresh Babu,

#### ABSTRACT

Cyberchondria is a term used to describe a clinical phenomenon in which frequent internet searches for medical information led to excessive worries about one's physical well-being. Researchers discovered that study participants used web search engines incorrectly as a diagnostic tool, believing that the higher the search result ranking, the more likely it was that they had that particular disease over the other diseases. Websites may provide diagnoses without taking into account incidence, prevalence, or relevant risk factors, leading consumers to suspect rather unlikely diseases as the source of their ailments. Users who believe they have incurable and serious illnesses may experience significant distress and anxiety as a result of web-diagnosis. There are several tools for assessing cyberchondria, but the CSS (Cyberchondria Severity Scale) is by far the most widely used. One study discovered that perceptions of information overload and trust in online sources both exacerbated COVID-19-related cyberchondria symptoms, implying that avoiding information overload and maintaining a "healthy scepticism" about health information are effective ways to prevent or treat cyberchondria. The first step for prevention is to ensure that information is obtained from trustworthy, reputable, and easily accessible websites. It is critical to remember that while the internet is a powerful tool, it is not always trustworthy or accurate. It should be used with caution and not in place of a healthcare provider's professional opinion.

**Keywords:** Cyberchondria, Internet searches, Websites, Cyberchondria severity scale, COVID-19.

#### INTRODUCTION

Cyberchondria is a term used to describe a clinical phenomenon in which frequent internet searches for medical information led to excessive worries about one's physical well-being. Although symptoms of health worry are positively correlated with cyberchondria, it is still unknown whether this condition places a special burden on society.[1] Many medical professionals are becoming more concerned about cyberchondria as a result of patients' ability to now investigate any and all symptoms of a rare disease, ailment, or condition and exhibit a state of medical worry. The name is coined in the mid 1990's by the UK presses from a combination of two terms "cyber" and "hypochondriasis" = the term "hypochondrium" means the region below the "cartilage" or "breast bone".[2] A review in the British Journal Publication Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry from 2003 says Cyberchondria was used in 2001

in an article in the United Kingdom newspaper. According to The Independent, "the over usage of internet health sites can induce health worry." [3]

#### Sources of information in cyberchondria

Researchers discovered that study participants used web search engines incorrectly as a diagnostic tool, believing that the higher the search result ranking, the more likely it was that they had that particular disease over the other diseases."An unwarranted medical fear or enhanced awareness of serious illnesses after a perusal of web content," was how they described cyberchondria.

- motors (google)
- Look through newspapers (articles)
- Books

#### Some well-known websites:

1. Family Doctor.org Symptom Search

2. Symptom Checker on HealthChildren.org
3. Isabel symptom checker
4. I Triage symptom checker
5. Mayo Clinic Symptom checker
6. WebMD Symptom checker
7. NHS choices (National health services)
8. Healthline
9. Medline plus
10. American Medical Association (AMA)
11. World Health Organisation (WHO)

### ***Cyberchondria's implications on public health***

Searching the internet for information about symptoms and illnesses is common and frequently beneficial. Some people who are overly concerned or terrified about their health, however, conduct excessive or frequent internet searches about health, only to feel even more concerned or terrified—a behaviour known as Cyberchondria. Websites may provide diagnoses without taking into account incidence, prevalence, or relevant risk factors, leading consumers to suspect rather unlikely diseases as the source of their ailments. Because many benign problems share symptoms with more serious illnesses and are listed side by side, users without competent medical consultation may assume the worst case scenario rather than the likely diagnosis. Users who believe they have incurable and serious illnesses may experience significant distress and anxiety as a result of web-diagnosis. Bad information found when searching for health-related information online can exacerbate health anxiety, which is defined as "inappropriate or excessive health-related fears of a serious medical illness." When someone suffering from health anxiety seeks reassurance, it can be difficult for them to stop because the behaviour appears to "help" by temporarily alleviating their anxiety. As a result, reassurance-seeking behaviour, such as researching information online or seeking a second opinion from a doctor, may become a habitual response to fear. Because more web content focuses on uncommon but serious conditions (like brain tumours) rather than more common, benign causes of symptoms, a searcher's health anxiety may increase if they believe they have a dangerous illness (like headaches). Patients may be better off using a reputable online symptom checker as a diagnostic tool rather than a search engine on the Internet. Despite the fact that they occasionally return results that include irrelevant illnesses, these symptoms checkers are more effective than using a search engine to look up a diagnosis. Cyberchondria can also lead to an over-reliance on health-related search engines, causing a person to ignore the more likely causes of their symptoms in favour of focusing on their symptoms and worst-case scenarios.

### ***Cyberchondria evaluation***

There are several tools for assessing cyberchondria, but the CSS (Cyberchondria Severity Scale) [4] is by far the most widely used. The CSS is based on a multidimensional understanding of cyberchondria and provides scores on five subscales, each representing a cyberchondria dimension: compulsion (interference with other activities), distress, excessiveness, reassurance, and mistrust of medical professionals. These scores are provided in addition to the overall score for each of the five subscales. In addition to its original 33-item form, the CSS has been used in shorter and

modified forms. There are numerous languages that the CSS has been translated into. The CSS was used in studies that greatly improved our understanding of cyberchondria. A recent review of cyberchondria assessment instruments suggested that the CSS's dominance among cyberchondria measures was due to its very good to excellent psychometric properties and reliance on a sound theoretical framework. Nonetheless, the CSS should be improved, and it deserves a more thorough examination in terms of factor structure, divergent validity, test-retest reliability, clinical population application, and scoring system. Furthermore, concerns have been raised about the CSS's construct validity, or the unresolved issue of what this instrument measures, whether it can distinguish between normal and pathological OHR, and whether it taps all relevant components of cyberchondria [5]. Concerning the latter, it has been suggested that a comprehensive cyberchondria instrument should include questions that assess how OHR is perceived, particularly in terms of its controllability, intrusiveness, and perception of how much health information is required. Finally, there is still debate about the definition of cyberchondria, but using the CSS implies either direct or indirect acceptance of the theoretical framework on which it is based. As a result, in addition to the CSS's requirement for improvement, testing of the multidimensional conceptualization of cyberchondria is required.[6]

### ***Cyberchondria at COVID-19***

The COVID-19 (coronavirus) pandemic has been a first-of-its-kind occurrence in the digital age, considering the disruption it has caused in every aspect of life worldwide. The fear caused by COVID-19 (also known as "corona phobia" or "COVID-19 anxiety"), the uncertainty that COVID-19 is a novel disease for which the world was unprepared, the abundance of online, unverified, and constantly updated information on this disease, the dubious veracity of much of the information found online, the decreased ability to filter out unnecessary information, and the perplexing nature of the Internet have all contributed to the plight. As a result of this, the pandemic has provided a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to investigate how countries all over the world are dealing with a distinct, naturally occurring health threat.[7] So far, numerous studies have investigated cyberchondria during the COVID-19 epidemic. One study discovered that perceptions of information overload and trust in online sources both exacerbated COVID-19-related cyberchondria symptoms, implying that avoiding information overload and maintaining a "healthy scepticism" about health information are effective ways to prevent or treat cyberchondria [6]. In this study, cyberchondria was discovered to be a "side effect" of the COVID-19 pandemic. Another study found that during the pandemic, cyberchondria was more common among those who relied on social media as their primary source of COVID-19 information. This finding could be linked to the fact that much of the information about the pandemic obtained via social media was inaccurate and untrustworthy.[8] Not surprisingly, cyberchondria was found to be a risk factor for "coronavirus anxiety" in another study conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic, with anxiety decreasing when participants were (well) informed about the pandemic [7]. More research on cyberchondria during the COVID-19 pandemic is expected to

provide a better understanding of cyberchondria in general. [9, 10]

### **Measures to be taken to mitigate the effects of Cyberchondria include**

Because the Internet is the primary source of health information in the twenty-first century, avoiding OHR (Online health research) does not prevent cyberchondria. [11] Because the majority of people who engage in OHR do not develop Cyberchondria, this online activity cannot be considered a risk factor for cyberchondria on its own. [12] As a result, any suggestion to obtain from OHR is both unrealistic and deceptive. [13]

### **Prevention efforts should concentrate on**

The first step is to ensure that information is obtained from trustworthy, reputable, and easily accessible websites. Internet users can use guides to direct them to such sites and help them distinguish between trustworthy and untrustworthy ones. [14] These guides are typically produced by governments, health or academic organisations, and use simple, non-technical language to assist people from a variety of educational backgrounds. [15]

### **Cyberchondriac attack advice**

Don't be ashamed to question your beliefs.

Drop into your own skin. Remember, it's not all about you. There are several strategies for preventing and managing cyberchondria.

Some examples are:

1. Being aware of the information sources: Only seek information from reputable sources, such as government websites or medical journals.
2. Limiting the amount of time spent online researching health topics: set a time each day for researching health information and stick to it. [16]
3. Seek the advice of a healthcare professional: If you are concerned about your health, you should seek the advice of a healthcare professional who can provide accurate information and guidance.
4. Stay away from health-related online forums and social media groups: These organisations are frequently a source of misinformation and can exacerbate health anxiety.

## **REFERENCES**

1. White RW, Horvitz E. Experiences with web search on medical concerns and self diagnosis. AMIA Annu Symp Proc. 2009;2009. PMID 20351943.
2. Stone J, Sharpe M. Internet resources for psychiatry and neuropsychiatry. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2003 Jan 1;74(1):10-2. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.74.1.10, PMID 12486258.
3. McElroy E, Shevlin M. The development and initial validation of the cyberchondria severity scale (CSS). J Anxiety Disord. 2014 Mar 1;28(2):259-65. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2013.12.007, PMID 24508033.
4. Brown RJ, Skelly N, Chew-Graham CA. Online health research and health anxiety: A systematic review and conceptual integration. Clin Psychol Sci Pract. 2020 Jun;27(2):e12299. doi: 10.1111/cpsp.12299.
5. Laato S, Islam AKMN, Islam MN, Whelan E. What drives unverified information sharing and cyberchondria during the COVID-19 pandemic? Eur J Inf Syst. 2020 May 3;29(3):288-305. doi: 10.1080/0960085X.2020.1770632.
6. Sauer KS, Schmidt A, Jungmann SM, Bailer J, Witthöft M. Do patients with pathological health anxiety fear COVID-19? A time-course analysis of 12 single cases during the "first wave" of the COVID-19 pandemic in Germany. J Psychosom Res. 2021;152:110687. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychores.2021.110687, PMID 34875465.

5. Self-care: Engage in activities that help reduce stress and anxiety, such as exercise, meditation, or yoga. [17, 18]
6. Mindfulness techniques: Mindfulness techniques can help people become more aware of their surroundings in the present moment, which can help reduce anxiety and worry about their health.
7. Seeking professional help if necessary: If you are experiencing severe cyberchondria symptoms, seek professional help. [19, 20]

It is critical to remember that while the internet is a powerful tool, it is not always trustworthy or accurate. It should be used with caution and not in place of a healthcare provider's professional opinion.

## **CONCLUSION**

Cyberchondria has progressed from being a topic of journalistic attention to the proper subject of professional interest and scientific research, as shown by the growing number of publications devoted to it. Some important questions surrounding cyberchondria are still unexplored, despite this change. Although its key characteristics have been clearly defined, there is disagreement on its conceptualization and definition. Theoretical knowledge of cyberchondria has also not yet been fully included. It is not surprising that a relatively tiny percentage of people appear to be seeking professional assistance due to cyberchondria as their primary issue or complaint. Despite these problems, cyberchondria's detrimental effects and its importance for public health are becoming more widely acknowledged, but they need to be thoroughly investigated. There are many potential prevention and management approaches, but these still need to be developed and put to the test. More research is obviously needed to overcome their uncertainties and contradictions about Cyberchondria. Further studies need to test the existing and novel theoretical frameworks and conceptualising and management approaches based on them

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

Authors are grateful to the Principal and management of Gokaraju Rangaraju College of Pharmacy for their encouragement and providing all the facilities required in collecting the literature and preparing the manuscript.

7. Starcevic V, Aboujaoude E. cybersuicide, cybersex: "New" psychopathologies for the 21st century? *World Psychiatry*. 2015;14(1):97-100. doi: 10.1002/wps.20195, PMID 25655165.
8. Barke A, Bleichhardt G, Rief W, Doering BK. The cyberchondria severity scale (CSS): German validation and development of a short form. *Int J Behav Med*. 2016;23(5):595-605. doi: 10.1007/s12529-016-9549-8, PMID 26931780.
9. Nicholas D, Huntington P, Gunter B, Withey R, Russell C. The British and their use of the Web for health information and advice: A survey. *Aslib Proc*. 2003;55(5/6):261-76. doi: 10.1108/00012530310498842.
10. Loos A. Cyberchondria: Too much information for the health anxious patient? *J Consum Health Internet*. 2013;17(4):439-45. doi: 10.1080/15398285.2013.833452.
11. Mathes BM, Norr AM, Allan NP, Albanese BJ, Schmidt NB. Cyberchondria: overlap with health anxiety and unique relations with impairment, quality of life, and service utilisation. *Psychiatry Res*. 2018;261:204-11. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.01.002, PMID 29324396.
12. White RW, Cyberchondria HE. Studies of the escalation of medical concerns in web search. *ACM Trans Inform Syst*. 2009;27:1-37.
13. Muse K, McManus F, Leung C, Meghreblian B, Williams JM. Cyberchondriasis: fact or fiction? A preliminary examination of the relationship between health anxiety and searching for health information on the Internet. *J Anxiety Disord*. 2012;26(1):189-96. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2011.11.005, PMID 22137465.
14. Eysenbach G, Köhler C. How do consumers search for and appraise health information on the World Wide Web? Qualitative study using focus groups, usability tests, and in-depth interviews. *BMJ*. 2002;324(7337):573-7. doi: 10.1136/bmj.324.7337.573, PMID 11884321.
15. Bati AH, Mandracioglu A, Govsa F, Çam O. Health anxiety and cyberchondria among Ege University health science students. *Nurse Educ Today*. 2018;71:169-73. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2018.09.029, PMID 30290320.
16. Baumgartner SE, Hartmann T. The role of health anxiety in online health information search. *Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw*. 2011;14(10):613-8. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2010.0425, PMID 21548797.
17. Norr AM, Capron DW, Schmidt NB. Medical information seeking: impact on risk for anxiety psychopathology. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry*. 2014;45(3):402-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jbtep.2014.04.003, PMID 24818986.
18. Starcevic V, Berle D. Cyberchondria: Towards a better understanding of excessive health-related Internet use. *Expert Rev Neurother*. 2013;13(2):205-13. doi: 10.1586/ern.12.162, PMID 23368807.
19. Doherty-Torstrick ER, Walton KE, Fallon BA. Cyberchondria: parsing health anxiety from online behavior. *Psychosomatics*. 2016;57(4):390-400. doi: 10.1016/j.psych.2016.02.002, PMID 27044514.
20. McElroy E, Shevlin M. The development and initial validation of the cyberchondria severity scale (CSS). *J Anxiety Disord*. 2014;28(2):259-65. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2013.12.007, PMID 24508033.