

International Journal of Research in Pharmacology & Pharmacotherapeutics



ISSN Print: 2278-2648 ISSN Online: 2278-2656 IJRPP |Vol.6 | Issue 4 | Oct - Dec - 2017 Journal Home page: www.ijrpp.com

Research article

Open Access

An observative study of comparison of efficacy and tolerability between atenolol and combination of amolodopine with atenolol in the maintence therapy of hypertension in a tertiary care hospital

Dr. Wasif S, Dr. Mohsin

M.D (Pharmacology), GIMS, Gulbarga M.D (General Medicine), GIMS, Gulbarga *Corresponding author: Dr. Wasif S Email: drsyedwasif@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background

Hypertension is one of the most common non-communicable disease. There are a number factors, presently prevalent in our daily life that are increasing the prevalence of hypertension in nearly all age groups, but more as age increases. Beta receptor antagonists are one of the groups of drugs used in all grades of hypertension. Ca^{2+} channel blockers are the other class of antihypertensive agents, used in different situations of hypertension. But many times, single drug therapy is not sufficient to maintain normal blood pressure. And combination therapy has its own problems like increase side effects, cost etc. Hence, present study is undertaken to determine the difference between efficacy and tolerability of atenolol and combination of amolodopine and atenolol.

Objectives

To compare the efficacy and tolerability of atenolol and combination of amolodopine and atenolol in maintaining normal blood pressure.

Materials and methods

A total of hundred patients were taken for the study. Fifty patients are given atenolol and other fifty are given combination of amolodopine and atenolol, once a day, daily for one month. Blood pressure of all the patients is recorded daily in laying position for one month. Data collected was analyzed statistically using descriptive statistics. Any adverse effects, symptoms of hypertension and patient compliance is also noted in the follow up visits.

Results

Blood pressure levels were maintained at normal limits, more in combination therapy compared to atenolol alone therapy. Blood pressure variations were also less with combination therapy. Side effects were somewhat more in the combination therapy. Patient compliance was good.

Interpretation and conclusion

Combination of amolodipine and atenolol seems to be more effective in the treatment of hypertension in comparison to atenolol alone.

Keywords: Atenolol, Amolodopine, Hypertension

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is one of the most common noncommunicable disease. There are a number factors, presently prevalent in our daily life that are increasing the prevalence of hypertension in nearly all age groups, but more as age increases. Beta receptor antagonists are one of the groups of drugs used in all grades of hypertension. Ca²⁺ channel blockers are the other class of antihypertensive agents, used in different situations of hypertension. But many times, single drug therapy is not sufficient to maintain normal blood pressure. And combination therapy has its own problems like increase side effects, cost etc. Hence, present study is undertaken to determine the difference between efficacy and tolerability of atenolol and combination of amolodopine and atenolol.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Hypertension, increase in blood pressure, is one of the most common clinical condition seen in dayto-day life. Hypertension is responsible for 6% of deaths worldwide. Long term constant increase in blood pressure increases the risk of coronary artery disease, congestive heart failure, ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke, renal failure, and peripheral arterial disease. Blood pressure variability, other than normally occurring in physiological conditions, is associated with premature death and cardiovascular events. So the blood pressure is maintained within normal limits by using different groups of antihypertensive drugs, singly or in combination to prevent the complications of hyperyension. [3, 22, 18].

Pathophysiology of increased blood pressure Arterial blood pressure is decided by two important factors, cardiac output and peripheral resistance. While cardiac output is determined by heart rate and stroke volume (stroke volume is related to myocardial contractility and size of the vascular compartment), Peripheral resistance is determined by any functional or anatomical changes in small blood vessels. [3].

Following are some of the important determinants, that has significant role in the regulation of blood pressure. They are:

Intravascular Volume

Increase in intravascular volume is associated with increase blood pressure. Vascular volume increases when the sodium intake exceeds the capacity of the kidney to excrete it. Increase intravascular volume leads to increase cardiac output. [3]

Autonomic Nervous System

Autonomic nervous system maintains blood pressure by changes in blood volume, by changes in blood vessels reactions and by chemoreceptor signals. Release and amount of secretion of three endogenous catecholamines are norepinephrine, epinephrine, and dopamine along with hormonal factors regulates the arterial pressure. [3]

Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone

Angiotensin II, generated from renin-angiotensinaldosterone system has vasoconstrictor property that increases blood pressure. Aldosterone causes sodium retention that increases intravascular volume. Reninangiotensin-aldosterone system regulates arterial pressure by this way. [3]

Vascular Mechanisms

Even a small decrease in the lumen size of the blood vessels greatly increases the peripheral resistance. Many factors that affects the blood vessel wall, particularly of small arteries and arterioles reduces lumen diameter and this causes increase in blood pressure. [3]

Classification of Hypertension

Blood pressure is recorded for two or more times on more than two outpatient visits, before been classified under various levels of blood pressure.

| 2017 updated Blood pressure classification | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Classification | Systolic, mmHg | Diastolic, mmHg | | | | |
| Normal BP | <120 | and <80 | | | | |
| Elevated BP | 120-129 | <80 | | | | |
| Stage 1 Hypertension | 130–139 | or 80–89 | | | | |
| Stage 2 Hypertension | \geq 140 | \geq 90 | | | | |

Source: Whelton, P.K, et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.006 [5]

Treatment of Hypertension

They are mainly two ways of treatment of hypertension, Nonpharmacological therapy and Pharmacological therapy.

- 1. Nonpharmacological therapy is the lifestyle modifications like aerobic exercise, weight loss (in overweight individuals), restricting sodium intake, decreasing stress and avoiding smoking and alcohol. Nonpharmacological therapy is applied to all the patients of hypertension, in all stages, with or without pharmacological therapy. Many of the elevated hypertensive patients and in some of the stage 1 hypertensive patients, nonpharmacological therapy is sufficient. [4]
- 2. Pharmacological therapy includes use of various antihypertensive drugs, as monotherapy or in combination to maintain the normal blood pressure. There are different groups of

antihypertensive drugs of different mechanisms of action like: Diuretics, ACE Inhibitors, Angiotensin receptors blockers, Calcium channel blockers, β Adrenergic blockers, α Adrenergic blockers, $\alpha+\beta$ Adrenergic blockers, Central sympatholytics, Vasodilators etc. Different types of drugs are tired in different stages of hypertension in different age related or comorbid conditions. [20]

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total of hundred patients were taken for the study. Fifty patients are given atenolol and other fifty are given combination of amolodopine and atenolol, once a day, daily for one month. Blood pressure of all the patients is recorded daily in laying position for one month. Data collected was analyzed statistically using descriptive statistics. Any adverse effects, symptoms of hypertension and patient compliance is also noted in the follow up visits.

RESULTS

| Table 1 shows age of the patients | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Age | Total number of Number of patients with atenolol | | Number of patients with | | | |
| group | patients | treatment | combination therapy | | | |
| 0-18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| 19-60 | 70 | 32 | 38 | | | |
| >60 | 30 | 18 | 12 | | | |
| Total | 100 | 50 | 50 | | | |

Table 2 shows blood pressure of the patients on different days of the study.

| Atenolol treatment | | | | Combination therapy | | | | |
|--------------------|--------|----------|---------|---------------------|--------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| Visits | Normal | Elevated | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Normal | Elevated BP | Stage 1 | Stage 2 |
| | BP | BP | Hyperte | Hypertens | BP | | Hypertensi | Hypertensi |
| | | | nsion | ion | | | on | on |
| Day 1 | 4 | 8 | 27 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 30 | 9 |
| Day 5 | 6 | 24 | 16 | 4 | 30 | 14 | 6 | 0 |
| Day 10 | 10 | 18 | 20 | 2 | 36 | 10 | 4 | 0 |
| Day 15 | 10 | 20 | 19 | 1 | 42 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Day 20 | 16 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 46 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Day 25 | 24 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Day 30 | 40 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Graded as per 2017 Hypertension classification. [5]



Graph 1 shows Comparison of blood pressure of patients on atenolol treatment at selected intervals Note: number of patients on y axis and day on x axis.



Graph 2 Shows Comparison of blood pressure of patients on combination therapy at selected intervals Note: number of patients on y axis and day on x axis.

DISCUSSION

This is an observational study in which the efficacy and tolerability of atenolol and that of combination of Amolodopine and Atenolol in the treatment of hypertension is compared. Subjects are either are given atenolol 50mg tablet once daily or a combination of amolodopine 5mg and atenolol 50mg tablet single dose daily. According to McGill JB et al, once daily betablockers have good patients compliance in mild to moderate hypertension. According to Barrett J, et al, increasing the dose of amolodopine dose from 5mg to 10mg notably decreases blood pressure in older patients. [7, 25, 12]

Table 1 shows the age distribution of the patients. Most of the patients are in the age group of 19 to 60 years (70%). 30% of the patients are above 60 years.

The objective parameters i.e recording of blood pressure is done daily for one month, patient is first asked to relax for 10 minutes and blood pressure is recorded in laying position. Patients are also asked about daily intake of medicine, any adverse effects or any symptoms of hypertension.

Table 2 shows blood pressure of all patients noted at different days of the study. Baseline blood pressure readings of all patients is taken on day 1. On day 5, 11 patients had stage 2 hypertension in atenolol treatment group of patients and 9 patients in combination therapy group. At day 10, 20 patients on atenolol treatment and only 4 patients on combination therapy had stage 1 hypertension. At day 20, 19 patients on atenolol treatment and 4 patients on combination therapy had elevated blood pressure. At the end of the study i.e on the day 30, 40 patients shown normal blood pressure in atenolol group as compared to 48 patients in combination therapy group. There was a gradual decrease in the blood pressure levels as the study progressed in all patients, but more faster decline in blood pressure is seen in combination therapy group of patients than in atenolol alone treatment. At the end of the study, most of the patients were maintaining normal blood pressure level with their respective treatments.

Bar graph 1 and 2 shows the comparison of blood pressure recordings of all patients on atenolol therapy and combination therapy respectively at different days of the study. In both the graphs, there is decline in blood pressure levels as the study progress, more in combination therapy.

Adrenergic Receptor Antagonist

 β blockers are one of the main group of drugs used in hypertension. β blockers acts by antagonism of adrenergic receptors that causes reduction in myocardial contractility, heart rate, and cardiac output leading to fall in blood pressure. β blockers decreases the production of circulating Angiotensin II by acting on adrenergic receptors of the juxtaglomerular complex of kidney. β blockers are mild antihypertensive drugs and their effects last for 24 hour with single dose. According to Aursnes I, et al, atenolol caused decrease in heart rate is associated with increased cardiovascular events and stroke in hypertensive patients. Atenolol is associated with glucose intolerance particularly in diabetics, that's why it is not use as first line drug in hypertensive patients. According to Ibrahim MM, et al, Even better other β blockers are also available like metropolol than atenolol. Low-dose thiazides diuretics are preferred first-line drugs, next is calcium channel blockers and next to calcium channel blockers are β blockers. According to Morgan TO, et al, atenolol is not preferred as reference drug, sounds logical as compared to this study. [20, 19, 31, 17, 30, 36, 6, 35, 23, 37, 11, 9, 21, 28, 24]

Calcium channel blockers (CCBs)

Calcium channel blockers causes fall in blood pressure by inhibition of calcium influx in vascular smooth muscles leading to vasodilatation. The difference in different calcium channel blockers in the selectivity for arterial, venous or heart muscles cells, results in the variations in their actions that decides the choice of a particular calcium channel blocker in different hypertensive conditions. Nifedipine has less cardiac muscles effects in relation to verapamil and diltiazem. They have equal ability to prevent stroke in hypertensive patients as compare to ACE Inhibitors. According to Barrett J, et al, amolodopine has shown good response in the treatment of hypertension with diabetes or renal dysfunction patients. [1, 2, 37, 8, 10, 27, 33]

BPV, blood pressure variations occurring in minutes, hours or days throughout in the patients, significantly effects the cardiovascular outcomes. Usually it is less with amolodipine treatment as seen in different studies, In this study, blood pressure variations is more with atenolol therapy group of patients as compared to combination therapy. [32, 16, 23]

Combination of amolodopine and atenolol is commonly used for the control of blood pressure, not controlled by single drug therapy. Presently, maximum number of hypertensive patients are in the need of combination therapy to maintain normal blood pressure. Combining different groups of drugs has higher efficacy in reduction of blood pressure as compared to increasing the dose of single drug. According to Wald DS, et al, combination of amolodopine and atenolol have superior effect on reduction in blood pressure, blood pressure variations and end organ damage than single drug therapy. UVspectrophotometric is used to calculate the dose of individual drug in different combinations in different studies. According to Boutouyrie P, et al, Combination of amolodopine and valsartan has greater central systolic blood pressure reduction compared to combination of amolodopine and atenolol. That means we got other better combinations of drugs than combination of amolodipine and atenolol. And certainly, combination of amolodipine and atenolol causes more reduction of blood pressure than atenolol alone. [29, 26, 34, 13, 14, 15]

CONCLUSION

Blood pressure levels were maintained at normal limits, more in combination therapy compared to atenolol alone therapy. Blood pressure variations were also less with combination therapy. Side effects were somewhat more in the combination therapy. Patient compliance was good.

BIBILIOGRAPHY

- [1]. Katzung, B.G., Masters, S.B., and Trevor, A.J. Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Medical 3, 2015.
- [2]. Del Mauro JS, et al. Effects of carvedilol or amlodipine on target organ damage in L-NAME hypertensive rats: their relationship with blood pressure variability. J AM Soc Hypertens. 1(4), 2017, 227-240.
- [3]. Ahlquist D, Camilleri M editors. Cardiovascular Diseases. In: Harrisons: Principles of internal medicine. 19th Ed. McGraw Hill companies: 2016, 241-250.
- [4]. Brunton LL, Chabner BA, Knollmann BC, editors. Treatment of Myocardial ischeamia and Hypertension. In: Goodman & Gilman's The Pharmacological Basis of Therapeutics. 13thEd. New York: McGraw-Hill Companies; 2017.
- [5]. Whelton, P.K., et al. J Am Coll Cardiol. 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.11.006.
- [6]. Kuyper LM, Khan NA. Atenolol vs nonatenolol beta-blockers for the treatment of hypertension: a metaanalysis. Can J Cardiol. 30(5), 2014, S47-53.
- [7]. McGill JB. Optimal use of beta blockers in high-risk hypertension: a guide to dosing equivalence. Vasc Health Risk Manag. 6, 2010, 363-72.
- [8]. Oparil S, et al. Long term morbidity and mortality trials with amlodipine. Journal of Cardiovascular Pharmacology: 33, 1999, S1-S6.
- [9]. Carlberg B, Samuelson O, Lindholm LH. Atenolol in hypertension: is it a wise choice?. The Lancet. 365(9460), 2005, 656.
- [10]. Aursnes I, Tvete IF, et al. Clinical efficacies of antihypertensive drugs. Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal. 37, 2003, 2.
- [11]. Morgan TO, Anderson AI, MacInnis RJ. ACE inhibitors, beta blockers, calcium channel blockers and diuretics for the control of systolic hypertension. American journal of hypertension. 14(3), 2001, 241-247.
- [12]. Frishman WH, et,al. Amolodipine versus atenolol in essential hypertension. The American Journal of Cardiology.73(3), 1994, A50-A54.
- [13]. Ling G, et al. Effects of combination therapy with at Enolol and amlodipine on blood pressure control and stroke prevention in stroke –prone spontaneously hypertensive rats. Acta Pharmacol Sin. 28(11), 2007, 1755-60.
- [14]. Wald. D.S, et. al. Combination therapy versus monotherapy in reducing blood pressure : Meta-analysis on 11,000 participants from 42 trials. The American Journal of Medicine. 122(3), 2009, 290-300.
- [15]. Han P, et al. The combination of atenolol and amlodipine is better than their monotherapy for preventing endorgan damage in different types of hypertension in rats. J Cell Mol Med. 13(4), 2009, 726-34.
- [16]. Wang JG, et al. Predictors of visit-to-visit bood pressure variability in patients with hypertension: an analysis of trials with an amolodipine treatment arm. J AM Soc Hypertens. 11(7), 2017, 402-411.
- [17]. Bangalore S, Sawhney S, Messerli FH. Relation of Beta-Blocker induced Heart rate lowering and cardioprotection in Hypertension. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 52(18), 2008.

- [18]. Kostis JB. Visit-to-visit variability of blood pressure. J AM Soc Hypertens. 11(8), 2017, 473-474.
- [19]. Ibrahim MM, Mossallam R. Clinical evaluation of atenolol in hypertensive patients. Circulation. 64(2), 1981, 368-74.
- [20]. Tripati KD. Antihypertensive Drugs. In. Essentials of Medical Pharmacology. Jaypee brothers medical publishers. 7, 2011.
- [21]. Wright JM, Musuni VM. First-line drugs for hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 3, 2009.
- [22]. Wang JG, et al. Effects of amolodipine and other classes of antihypertensive drugs on long term blood pressure variability: evidence from randomized controlled trials. J AM Soc Hypertens. 8(5), 2014, 340-9.
- [23]. Rothwell PM, et al. Effects of beta blockers and calcium-channel blockers on within-individual variability in blood pressure and risk of stroke. Lancet Neurol. 9(5), 2010, 469-80.
- [24]. Wong GW, et al. Blood pressure lowering efficacy of beta-1 selective beta blockers for primary hypertension. Cochrane Dtabase Syst Rev. 3, 2016. :CD007451.
- [25]. Barrett J, Rahul B ,Jeffery R. incremental blood pressure- lowering effect of titrating amolodipine for the treatment of hypertension in patients including those aged \geq 55 years. American journal of therapeutics. 22(4), 2015, 278-287.
- [26]. Kasture A.V, Ramteke M. Simultaneous UV- spectrophotometric method for the estimation of atenolol and amlodipine besylate in combined dosage form. Indaian J Pharm Sci. 68(3), 2006, 394-396.
- [27]. Barrett J, Jeffery R, Rahul B. Efficacy of calcium channel blockers versus other classes of antihypertensive medication in the treatment of hypertensive patients with previous stroke and/or coronary artery disease: A systemic review and meta-analysis. American journal of therapeutics. 24(1), 2017, e68-80.
- [28]. Chen N, et al. Calcium channel blockers versus other classes of drugs for hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 8, 2010.
- [29]. Boutouyrie P, et al. Amlodipine-valsartan combination decreases central systolic blood pressure more effectively than the amlodipine-atenolol combination: the EXPLOR study. Hypertension. 55(6), 2010, 1314-22.
- [30]. Aursnes I, Osnes JB. Does Atenolol differ from other Beta-Adrenergic Blockers. BMC Clinical Pharmacology. 7, 2007, 4.
- [31]. Aursnes I, Osnes JB. Atenolol versus other Beta-Adrenergic Blockers. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 53(22), 2009.
- [32]. Park S, et al. Effect of visit-to-visit blood pressure variability on cardiovascular events in patients with coronary artery disease and well-controlled blood pressure. J AM Soc Hypertens. 10(10), 2016, 799-810.
- [33]. Barrett J, Jeffery R, Rahul B. A systematic review on the efficacy of amolodipine in the treatment of patients with hypertension with concomitantdiabetes mellitus and/or renal dysfunction, when compared to other classes of antihypertensive medication. American journal of therapeutics. 22(5), 2015, 322-341.
- [34]. Gradman AH, et.al. Combination therapy in hypertension. Journal of the American Society of Hypertension. 4(2), 2010, p90-98.
- [35]. Wlysonge CS, et al. Beta-Blockers for Hypertension. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 8, 2012.
- [36]. Lindholm KH, et al. Should beta blockers remain first choice in the treatment of primary hypertension? A meta-analysis. Lamcet. 366(9496), 2005, 1545-53.
- [37]. Oparil S, et al. Calcium antagonists in cardiovascular disease. Clinical evidence from morbidity and mortality trials. Drugs. 59, 2000, 25-37.

| www.ijrpp.com | l |
|---------------|---|
| ~ 420~ | |